Behavior of Subsea Pipelines Expansion under High Pressure and Temperature Design

Abdul Khair Junaidi,^{a,*}, Efi Afrizal, \overline{b} and Dodi Sofyan Arif, \overline{b}

a)*Pipeline and Subsea System Engineering, Ocean and Aerospace Engineering Research Institute, Indonesia* b)*Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Riau, Indonesia*

*Corresponding author: junaidiperu@yahoo.com

Paper History

Received: 10-November-2013 Received in revised form: 20-November-2013 Accepted: 22-November-2013

ABSTRACT

In offshore operation, Crude oil is transported by means of pipeline system from oil well to platform at High Pressure and High Temperature. The pipeline will experience expansion and multi stresses due to internal pressure and operating temperature that the material properties of pipe tend to deformation which affect to the durability of pipeline. This phenomenon should be considered in the design process of pipeline and to estimate the expansion is under limit of pipeline strength otherwise the pipeline will be failure cause of catastrophic.

KEY WORDS: *Pipeline Expansion; High Pressure; High Temperature; Axial Force*.

NOMENCLATURE

- *API* American Petroleum Institute
- Δܶ Temperature Difference in and out
- F_T Thermal Expansion
L₄ Anchor Length
- L_A Anchor Length
 ΔL Expansion
- Expansion
- F_P Pressure Force
 F_F Friction Force
- Friction Force
- ε_{sd} Design Compressive Strain ε_c Critical Strain

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Increasing of pipeline trend to deep water will compel operating condition at high pressure and temperature. in this circumstances, the pipeline construction challenges will move into strain base design using the lateral buckling design approach. Strain-based design allows the pipelines expand laterally at designated location to relieve thermal expansion rather than being restrained. the lateral buckling design requires the correct initiation method to provide low critical buckling forces at the designated location. The main issues of offshore pipeline operations are expansion induce movement due to internal pressure and high temperature. The operation of High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) pipelines are susceptible to move such as lateral buckling and axial creeping. There is some interaction in the movement of pipelines between pipe and seabed soil or other connection that is always to be a problem in the design pipeline moreover the complexities of pipeline interaction to the extreme seabed condition. Understanding and solving, the pipeline embedment to estimate the reliable pipe soil interaction on the HPHT pipeline is to be crucial aspect in the implementation of the pipeline design in deep water.

2.0 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PIPE

The grade designation comes from API Spec 5L specification for pipeline. Stronger grades have designation X followed by the specified minimum yield strength of the pipe steel. Table 2.1 shows the SMYS (Specific Minimum Yield Strength) and SMTS (Specific Minimum Tensile Strength) of pipeline based on the material grade.

Journal of Ocean, Mechanical and Aerospace -Science and Engineering- Vol.1 November 20, 2013 November 20, 2013 November 20, 2013

2.1 Strain by DNV Regulation

$$
\varepsilon_{sd} \le \varepsilon_{Rd} = \frac{\varepsilon_c(t_2, p_{min} - p_e)}{\gamma_e} \tag{1}
$$

where,

 ε_{sd} = design compressive strain $\varepsilon_c(t_2, p_{min})$

$$
p_e = 0.78 \left(\frac{t}{p} - 0.01 \right) \cdot \left(1 + 5.75 \cdot \frac{p_{min} - p_e}{p_b(t)} \right) \cdot \alpha_h^{-1.5} \cdot \alpha_{gw}
$$
 (2)

 p_{min} = minimum internal pressure p_e = external pressure, ρgh . (3)

$$
\alpha_h = \left(\frac{R_{t,0.5}}{R_m}\right)_{\text{max}}
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

 $\left(\frac{R_{t0.5}}{R}\right)$ $\frac{N_{\text{t 0.5}}}{R_{\text{m}}}$) max = 0.93

3.0 PRINCIPLE THEORY

3.1 Axial Force

a) Pressure Force Expansion

$$
F_{P1} = PA_i[1 - \frac{2v(D-t)}{(D-2t)}]
$$
\n(5)

b) Friction Force

$$
F_{F1} = fW_S x_1 \tag{6}
$$

3.2 Exponential Temperature End Expansion Theory a) Thermal Expansion Force

$$
F_{T1} = EA_S \alpha \Delta T(x_1)
$$

\n
$$
\Delta T(x_1) = \Delta T_1 \exp\left(-\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)
$$
\n(7)

Combine equation, thermal expansion force become:

$$
F_{T1} = EA_S \alpha \Delta T_1 \exp\left(-\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)
$$

(8)
b) Anchor length (long pipe)

$$
PA_i\left[1-\frac{2v(D-t)}{(D-2t)}\right]+EA_S\alpha\Delta T_2\exp\left(\frac{L_{A2}}{\lambda}\right)=fW_S L_{A2} \tag{9}
$$

c) Anchor length (short pipe)

$$
L_{A1} = (EA_S \alpha \left[\Delta T_1 \exp\left(\frac{-L_{A1}}{\lambda}\right) - \Delta T_2 \exp\left\{\frac{L_T - L_{A1}}{\lambda}\right\} \right] +
$$

$$
fW_{S}L_{T})/(2fW_{S})
$$
\n(10)

d) Expansion

$$
\Delta L_1 = \frac{L_{A1}}{E A_S} \Big(F_{P1} - \frac{f W_S L_{A1}}{2} \Big) + \alpha \lambda \Delta T_1 \big[1 - \exp \left(- \frac{L_{A1}}{\lambda} \right) \tag{11}
$$

3.3 Linear Temperature End Expansion Theory

a) Thermal Expansion Force (F_{T1}) :

$$
F_{T1} = EA_S \alpha \Delta T_1 + EA_S \alpha M_1 L_{A1}
$$
\n(12)

b) Anchor length (long pipe)

$$
L_{A1} = \frac{PA_i \left[1 - \frac{2V(D - t)}{(D - 2t)}\right] + EA_S \alpha \Delta T_1}{fW_S - EA_S \alpha M_1}
$$
\n(13)

c) Anchor length (short pipe)

$$
(2L_{A1} - L_T) = \frac{E_{A_S} \alpha (\Delta T_1 - \Delta T_2)}{f W_S - E_{A_S} \alpha M_1}
$$
(14)

d) End expansion

$$
\Delta L_1 = \frac{L_{A1}}{EA_S} \Big[F_{P1} + EA_S \alpha \Delta T_1 + \frac{L_{A1}}{2} (EA_S \alpha M_1 - fW_S) \Big]
$$
(15)

3.4 Uniform Temperature End Expansion Theory

a) Thermal expansion force

$$
F_T = EA_S \alpha \Delta T \tag{16}
$$

b) Anchor length (long pipe)

$$
L_A = \frac{\left[PA_i\left[1 - \frac{2V(D-t)}{(D-2t)}\right] + EA_S\alpha\Delta T\right]}{(fW_S)}\tag{17}
$$

c) Anchor length (short pipe)

$$
L_A = \frac{L_T}{2} \tag{18}
$$

d) End Expansion

$$
\Delta L = \frac{L_A}{EA_S} [F_P + EA_S \alpha \Delta T - \frac{fW_S L_A}{2}] \tag{19}
$$

3.5 Design Burst Pressure

 $P_t \leq f_d \cdot f_e \cdot f_t \cdot P_b$ $P_d \leq 0.80 P_t$ $P_a \leq 0.90 P_t$ f_d = internal pressure burst design factor, 0.90 for pipeline and 0.75 for riser f_e = weld joint factor f_t = Temperature, derating factor, 1.0 for temperature less than $121\,^0C$. P_h =Specified Minimum Burst Pressure P_d =Pipeline Design pressure P_t = Hydrostatic test pressure $P_b = 0.90(SMYS + SMTS)\left(\frac{t}{D-t}\right)$ where, $D =$ outside diameter note the formula for the burst pressure are for $D/t = 15$ Substituting the pressure test:

$P_d \approx 0.80 f_d f_e f_t P_b P_d \approx 0.80 f_d f_e f_t 0.90 (SMYS +$ $SMTS(\frac{t}{R})$ $\frac{t}{D-t}$ (20)

3.6 Allowable Pressure

Allowable external pressure of subsea pipeline:

$$
P_{allow} = \frac{2E}{3(1 - v^2)} (t/D)^3
$$
\n(21)

where, $E =$ modulus elasticity, $t =$ thickness, $D =$ outside diameter, $v = p$ oisson's ration

If the allowable external pressure is smaller than the design external pressure, either the pipe thickness is increased or stiffening rings are applied to increase the allowable pressure. When the un-stiffened pipe segment is short, the buckling may occur with two or more lobes depending on the diameter, thickness, and length.

The allowable compressive stress:

$$
S_{allowable} = \frac{0.125}{2} E(t/R)
$$
 (22)

R= outside radius

3.7 **Hoop Stress**
\n
$$
\sigma_h = (P_i - P_e) \frac{D - t}{2t} \le \eta (SMYS - f_{y. temp})
$$
\n(23)

 $f_{v. \text{term}}$ = Derating value due to Temperature

 $\eta =$ usage factor $\frac{2\alpha_u}{\sqrt{3} \cdot \gamma_m \cdot \gamma_{sc} \cdot \gamma_{inc}}$

 α_{ν} = material strength factor γ_m = material resistance factor γ_{sc} = safety class factor γ_{inc} = incidental to design pressure ratio

4.0 SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Operating Data

Table.3 Environment Condition

| Environment Condition | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Sea Water Density | 1025 kg/m^3 | |
| Friction Factor | 0.4 | |
| Seabed Temperature | 21° C | |

Table.4 Operating Condition

Table.5 End Expansion

Comparison between simulation results and company data shows that the small different value about 0.02 % from actual value. The different come from round off decimal number to nearest value. Figure.1 shows the inlet region has greater expansion compare to outlet region. This program identifies the limit of pipeline pressure and strain design complies with the DNV regulation. Strain design should be lower than rule strain. In the design of pipeline, strain will not be the concern problem to comply with DNV regulation but it can effect to fixed riser fatigue. Stress and strain Criteria tested by this programming in line with DNV regulation in which no specific formula for calculation of end expansion. The length of pipeline expansions can be determined to consider the mode of buckling but the magnitude of displacement will be estimated based on the value of pipeline end expansion.

The parameter of pipeline design will be set in this programming such as stress, strain and pressure to remind the designer that the conditions are either under limit or exceed the limit of pipeline strength.

Comparing empirical formula and DNV regulation in this programming showed that empirical formula only used the

Journal of Ocean, Mechanical and Aerospace -Science and Engineering-Vol.1

general data of carbon steel. In the DNV has specific grade of material value like specific minimum yield strength (SMYS) and specific minimum tensile strength (SMTS).

| Table.6 Thickness and Expansion | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Thickness (mm) | Inlet Expansion (m) | Outlet Expansion (m) |
| 14 | 4.52 | 1.79 |
| 15 | 3.72 | 1.23 |
| 16 | 3.19 | 0.92 |
| 17 | 2.80 | 0.72 |
| 18 | 2.51 | 0.58 |
| 19 | 2.29 | 0.48 |
| 20 | 2.10 | 0.40 |
| 21 | 1.96 | 0.35 |
| 22 | 1.83 | 0.31 |
| 23 | 1.73 | 0.27 |
| 24 | 1.64 | 0.24 |
| 25 | 1.56 | 0.21 |

Figure.1 Result plotted of end expansion against thickness

5.0 CONCLUSION

In this programming result the parameter design of pipeline work at high pressure and temperature induce end expansion that should be consider to avoid failure. The end expansion bring the stress and strain to the pipe line when interact with soil of seabed. The operating data by programming makes the result by limitation of several parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to convey a great appreciation to Ocean and Aerospace Engineering Research Institute, Japan for supporting this research.

REFERENCE

- Palmer, A. C. and R. A. King (2008), Subsea Pipeline $\mathbf{1}$ Engineering, Pennwell Corporation.
- Det Norske Veritas. DNV (2007), Offshore Standard DNV- \mathfrak{D} OS-F101: submarine pipeline systems. Hovik, Norway: Det Norske Veritas, DNV
- $3₁$ Bai, Y. and Q. Bai (2010), Subsea Engineering Handbook." USA, Elsevier.
- Bakhtiary, A. Y., A. Ghaheri, et al (2007), Analysis of 4. Offshore Pipeline Allowable Free Span Length.
- 5. Shao, B., X. Yan, et al. (2011). Reliability Analysis of Locally Thinned Submarine Pipelines in Cheng Dao Oil Field, Applied Mechanics and Materials Vols. 94-96, pp: $1527 - 1530$.
- 6 S.A Karamanos and J.L Tassoulas (1991), Stability of Deep water Pipelines Under Combined Loading.
- 7. Z.G Li (2010), Configuration of Submarine Pipeline for Deep water S-Lay Technique, The International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers.
- Guarracino, F. V., Mallardo (1999), A Refined Analysis of $8₁$ Submerged Pipelines in Seabed Laying, Applied Ocean Research, vol. 21.
- A.K.Junaidi, Jaswar, Efi Afrizal (2013), Subsea Petroleum \overline{Q} Pipeline Design in Deep Water, Applied The International Conference of Numerical Analysis Engineering, Indonesia.
- 10. Jaswar, A.K.Junaidi, Hashif (2013). Effect of Axial Force Concept in Offshore Pipeline Design, The International Conference on Marine Safety and Environment, Malaysia.
- 11. Jaswar, A.K.Junaidi, H.A.Rashidi (2013), Expansion of Deep Water Subsea Pipeline, Applied in The International Conference on Marine Safety and Environment, Malaysia.